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The paper:

It is only recently that few histories of interwar European political thought have come to acknowledge that the interwar crisis in relations among men was closely interwoven with upheavals in the ways Europeans rethought and debated God. The first aim of the paper is to restore to Karl Barth (1886-1968) a more central place than is generally known and acknowledged in twentieth century intellectual history. Secondly, it seeks to correct the commonplace association of his theological revolution with radical and authoritarian political ideologies by presenting and reflecting on Barth’s lifelong political engagement, and then, on some of his politically and intellectually most influential ideas. The third aim of the paper is to reflect on the wider implications of rethinking Barth’s role in the history of social and political thought.