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Introduction to the paper given Feb. 16, 2009: From Justice to Justification: An Alternative Genealogy of Positive Law

Berkowitz’s seminar paper offers a genealogy of positive law. From the perspective of intellectual history, Berkowitz shows that positive law has its formative impulse not in the English works of Thomas Hobbes and John Austin, but in the German tradition of legal science stretching from Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz to Friedrich Carl von Savigny and Rudolf von Jhering. As a contribution to jurisprudence, Berkowitz argues that positive law is best understood as a product of science and not, as usually thought, as the
will of a sovereign. As a work of political theory, Berkowitz explores how the subordination of law to social science has hollowed out the ethical center of law as the institutional embodiment of justice.

Berkowitz begins by noting a surprising historical coincidence, John Austin’s placement of an epigraph by Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz on the title page of his Outline of a Course of Lectures on General Jurisprudence, or the Philosophy of Positive Law. Berkowitz asks: what is an epigraph from the greatest natural lawyer of the 17th century doing on the title page of the work that has established itself as the locus classicus of 19th century legal positivism? On the level of intellectual history, the paper traces the influence of Leibniz’s scientific jurisprudence through German legal science of the 19th century to show that the connection between Austin and Leibniz is not incidental. Against the widely held consensus that positive law is rooted in the English positivist tradition stretching from Hobbes to Austin, Berkowitz argues that legal scholars need to search for the foundations of modern law in the rise of the German school of legal science.

In telling the story of how Friedrich Carl von Savigny and Rudolf von Jhering adopted and adapted Leibniz’s scientific approach to law, Berkowitz explores the philosophical foundations of positive law in Leibniz’s scientific metaphysics. Positive law, he argues, is law that is in need of scientific justification. Lacking any natural or traditional authority, positive law seeks its authority in the objectivity of social science. The rise of legal science and the current prevalence of the social sciences in law schools is, he suggests, a necessary corollary of the victory of positive law.

Finally, Berkowitz argues that the transformation of law into a product of scientific knowledge has had the unintended consequence of furthering the divorce of law from justice that it was meant to remedy. By subordinating law to the ends of the various socio-legal sciences, modern law has subordinated law’s traditional concern with justice to the pursuit of diverse social and economic ends: efficiency sought by economics; order sought by sociology; normativity sought by philosophy; and security sought by politics. Once law comes to serve social, economic, and political ends, law—and with it justice—becomes
subservient to its diverse justifications. Law, thus, becomes a means to whatever justified ends it must serve. Law’s need for justifications, therefore, means that law loses its traditional connection with justice.

Little has been written in English about either the German school of legal science or the scientific foundations of positive law. For a fuller argument about the relation between science and law, members of the seminar may see my book, The Gift of Science: Leibniz and the Modern Legal Tradition.
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